



Regulatory Program

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in the Approved Jurisdictional Determination Form User Manual.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 3 April 2019

B. ORM NUMBER IN APPROPRIATE FORMAT (e.g., HQ-2015-00001-SMJ): MVP-2018-03183-BGO

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: Minnesota County/parish/borough: Hennepin City: Plymouth Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 45.028443, Long93.452465. Map(s)/diagram(s) of review area (including map identifying single point of entry (SPOE) watershed and/or potential jurisdictional areas where applicable) is/are: ⊠attached ☐ in report/map titled ☐ Other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded on different JD form. List JD form ID numbers (e.g., HQ-2015-00001-SMJ-1):
 D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION: ☑ Office (Desk) Determination Only. Date: March 11, 2019. ☐ Office (Desk) and Field Determination. Office/Desk Date(s): Field Date(s): .
SECTION II: DATA SOURCES Check all that were used to aid in the determination and attach data/maps to this JD form and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate. Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Title/Date: CSAH 9 (Rockford Road)/I-494 Interchange Project Joint Application Form, January 2019.
 □ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. □ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report Title/Date: CSAH 9 (Rockford Road)/I-494 Interchange Project Wetland Delineation Report, October 2018. □ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Summarize rationale and include information
on revised data sheets/delineation report that this JD form has relied upon: Data sheets prepared by the Corps. Title/Date: Corps navigable waters study. Title/Date: CorpsMap ORM map layers. Title/Date:
 USGS Hydrologic Atlas. Title/Date: USGS, NHD, or WBD data/maps. Title/Date: NHD − High Resolution − MN, 2015. USGS 8, 10 and/or 12 digit HUC maps. HUC number: USGS maps. Scale & quad name and date: 1:24k DRG − Osseo, 2003 and Historic Topographic Maps from
 1956-1989. ■ USDA NRCS Soil Survey. Citation: USFWS National Wetlands Inventory maps. Citation: USFWS NWI of MN, 1974-1978. ■ State/Local wetland inventory maps. Citation: DNR NWI Update – MN, 2010-2016.
 ☐ FEMA/FIRM maps. Citation: ☐ Photographs: ☐ Aerial. Citation: 2017 FSA. or ☐ Other. Citation: Historic Aerial Photography from 1937-1999. ☐ LiDAR data/maps. Citation:
 □ Previous determinations. File no. and date of jurisdictional determination letter: □ Applicable/supporting case law: □ Applicable/supporting scientific literature: □ Other information (please specify):

SECTION III: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Complete Spreadsheet Tab "Aquatic Resources" - Required for All AJDs

	VERS AND HARBORS ACT (RHA) SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION: avigable waters of the U.S." within RHA jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.		
_	List water(s) and area/length within review area – Required:		
NOTE	E: If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Section		
10 na	vigable waters list, DO NOT USE THIS FORM TO MAKE THE DETERMINATION. The District must continue to		
follow	the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Section 10 RHA navigability determination.		
B. CL	EAN WATER ACT (CWA) SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION: "waters of the U.S." within		
	jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328.3) in the review area. Check all that apply.		
)(1): All waters which are currently used, were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or		
	reign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. (Traditional Navigable		
	aters or TNW).		
• • •	Complete Spreadsheet Tab "(a)(1)" - Required		
	This JD includes a case-specific (a)(1) TNW (Section 404 navigable-in-fact) determination on a water that has		
	of previously been designated as such. Documentation required for this case-specific (a)(1) TNW determination		
	attached.		
	attached. ()(2): All interstate waters, including interstate wetlands.		
□ (a	, , ,		
□ (a	• Complete Spreadsheet Tab "(a)(2)" - Required 1)(3): The territorial seas.		
□ (a			
— /-	• Complete Spreadsheet Tab "(a)(3)" - Required		
□ (a	(4): All impoundments of waters otherwise identified as waters of the U.S. under 33 CFR part 328.3.		
	• Complete Spreadsheet Tab "(a)(4)" - Required		
	(5): All tributaries, as defined in 33 CFR part 328.3, of waters identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR		
pa	art 328.3.		
	Complete Spreadsheet Tab "(a)(5)" - Required		
	(6): All waters adjacent to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3, including		
W	etlands, ponds, lakes, oxbows, impoundments, and similar waters.		
_	Complete Spreadsheet Tab "(a)(6)" - Required		
	Bordering/Contiguous.		
_	Neighboring:		
	1 (-)()()		
_	paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3.		
_	33 CFR part 328.3 and not more than 1,500 feet of the OHWM of such water.		
	(c)(2)(iii): All waters located within 1,500 feet of the high tide line of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1) or		
_	(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3, and all waters within 1,500 feet of the OHWM of the Great Lakes.		
	(7): All waters identified in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(7)(i)-(v) where they are determined, on a case-specific basis, to		
ha	ave a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.		
	• Complete Spreadsheet Tab "(a)(7)" for the significant nexus determination. Attach a map		
	delineating the SPOE watershed boundary with (a)(7) waters identified in the similarly situated		
_	analysis. – Required		
	Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for established,		
	ormal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent		
	nd require a case-specific significant nexus analysis.		
	(a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33		
	FR part 328.3 not covered by (c)(2)(ii) above and all waters located within 4,000 feet of the high tide line or		
	HWM of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3 where they are determined on a		
	ase-specific basis to have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part		
32	28.3.		
	• Complete Spreadsheet Tab "(a)(8)" for the significant nexus determination. Attach a map		
	delineating the SPOE watershed boundary with (a)(8) waters identified in the similarly situated		
	analysis. – Required		
	Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for established,		
	ormal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent		
ar	nd require a case-specific significant nexus analysis.		
C NIC	ONLWATERS OF THE U.S. FINDINGS:		
C. NON-WATERS OF THE U.S. FINDINGS: Check all that apply.			
	e review area is comprised entirely of dry land.		
	and the control of th		

Potential-(a)(7) Waters: Waters that DO NOT have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.
Complete Spreadsheet Tab "NonWaters-No SigNex". Attach a map delineating the SPOE
watershed boundary with potential (a)(7) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis. –
Required
Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for establishe
normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent
and require a case-specific significant nexus analysis.
Dotential-(a)(8) Waters: Waters that DO NOT have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-
(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.
Complete Spreadsheet Tab "NonWaters-No SigNex". Attach a map delineating the SPOE
watershed boundary with potential (a)(8) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis. –
Required
Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for establishe
normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent
and require a case-specific significant nexus analysis.
Excluded Waters (Non-Waters of U.S.), even where they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs (a)(4)-(a)(8):
Complete Spreadsheet Tab "NonWaters-Excluded" - Required
(b)(1): Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons designed to meet the requirements of
the CWA.
(b)(2): Prior converted cropland.
$\boxed{\boxtimes}$ (b)(3)(i): Ditches with ephemeral flow that are not a relocated tributary or excavated in a tributary.
(b)(3)(ii): Ditches with intermittent flow that are not a relocated tributary, excavated in a tributary, or drain
wetlands.
\square (b)(3)(iii): Ditches that do not flow, either directly or through another water, into a water identified in
paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3).
\square (b)(4)(i): Artificially irrigated areas that would revert to dry land should application of water to that area cease.
(b)(4)(ii): Artificial, constructed lakes and ponds created in dry land such as farm and stock watering ponds,
irrigation ponds, settling basins, fields flooded for rice growing, log cleaning ponds, or cooling ponds.
(b)(4)(iii): Artificial reflecting pools or swimming pools created in dry land.1
(b)(4)(iv): Small ornamental waters created in dry land.1
(b)(4)(v): Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to mining or construction activity, including
pits excavated for obtaining fill, sand, or gravel that fill with water.
(b)(4)(vi): Erosional features, including gullies, rills, and other ephemeral features that do not meet the
definition of tributary, non-wetland swales, and lawfully constructed grassed waterways. ¹
(b)(4)(vii): Puddles. ¹
(b)(5): Groundwater, including groundwater drained through subsurface drainage systems. ¹
(b)(6): Stormwater control features constructed to convey, treat, or store stormwater that are created in dry
land. ¹
(b)(7): Wastewater recycling structures created in dry land; detention and retention basins built for wastewater
recycling; groundwater recharge basins; percolation ponds built for wastewater recycling; and water
distributary structures built for wastewater recycling. Other non-jurisdictional waters/features within review area that do not meet the definitions in 33 CFR 328.3 of
(a)(1)-(a)(8) waters and are not excluded waters identified in (b)(1)-(b)(7).
• Complete Spreadsheet Tab "NonWaters-Other" - Required
- Complete Opicauoneet rab intervatero-Other - Nequired

D. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: The review area for this determination is limited to the boundaries of six roadside ditches labeled as Wet Ditches 1-5 and 8, and three stormwater control features labeled as Stormwater Ponds 1-2 and Wetland 1 on the attached figures and tables labeled MVP-2018-03183-BGO CSAH 9 (Rockford Road)/I-494 Interchange Project AJD Attachments, Pages 1-4 of 4. The total area on non-jurisdictional waters/features is approximately 2.11 acres.

The ditches are constructed features that are not relocated tributaries, are not excavated in a tributary, and do not convey perennial or intermittent flow. This was confirmed by reviewing aerial photography, national hydrography data, and the wetland delineation report. Based on this review, the evaluated ditches are not jurisdictional under the 2015 Clean Water Rule because they meet the terms of paragraph (b)(3)(i).

Stormwater Ponds 1-2 were constructed in dry land by the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT) between 2015-2017 as part of the Interstate 494 (I-494) General Purpose Lanes Project (Oakland Ave to Fish Lake Interchange) (SP 2785-330). This was confirmed by reviewing aerial photography and Department of the Army permit

¹ In many cases these excluded features will not be specifically identified on the approved JD form, unless specifically requested. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these features within the review area.

MVP-2014-02569-SEW, which authorized activities in WoUS associated with the construction of SP 2785-330. Based on information found in the administrative record for 2014-02569-SEW, both stormwater pond locations were comprised entirely of dry land at the time of the permit decision. Wetland 1 was constructed in dry land during the 1990's to convey, treat, or store stormwater from surrounding development. This was confirmed by reviewing aerial photography and topographic maps from 1937-1999 and soil survey data which shows the stormwater control feature was constructed in dry land. Based on this review, the evaluated stormwater control features are not jurisdictional under the 2015 Clean Water Rule because they meet the terms of paragraph (b)(6).